# STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

JUL 1 9 1995

# STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE BY

In the Matter of

Docket No. 95-160

SUTTER INSURANCE COMPANY

CONSENT ORDER

Respondent.

A Market Conduct Examination of Respondent, Sutter Insurance Company was conducted by Market Conduct Examiners ("Examiners") for the Arizona Department of Insurance ("ADOI"). Based on the Report of Market Conduct Examination prepared by the Examiners, it is alleged that Respondent has violated provisions of the Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 20, including Sections 20-157, 20-297, 20-385, 20-443, 20-448, 20-461, 20-462, 20-1631, 20-1632, 20-1632.01 and Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.) R4-14-801. Respondent wishes to resolve this matter without formal adjudicative proceedings and hereby agrees to a Consent Order.

As a result of the Market Conduct Examination, the Director of Insurance of the State of Arizona ("the Director") enters the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, which are neither admitted nor denied by Respondent and the following Order.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent is authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- 2. The Examiners were authorized by the ADOI to conduct a market conduct examination of Respondents. The on-site examination was concluded as of March 23, 1995 and a Report of

Examination ("Report") was written. The Examiners reviewed open and closed claim files from June 17, 1992, through July 2, 1994 and underwriting, rating and cancellation files were reviewed for the period of January 23,1992 through December 9, 1994.

- 3. Respondent failed to make all records relating to the subject of the examination requested by the Examiners including producer commission statements, procedures, instructions or correspondence, freely accessible to the Examiners.
- 4. Respondent failed to file its list of agents prior to January 30th for the years 1992, 1993 and 1994.
- 5. Respondent issued twenty-six (26) private passenger automobile policies and one (1) motorcycle policy for policy terms other than those set forth in Respondent's filed Arizona Rate Guides.
- 6. Respondent issued nine (9) private passenger automobile policies and one (1) private passenger motorcycle policy using rates other than those filed with the ADOI.
- 7. Respondent issued two (2) homeowner, one (1) mobile home and four (4) dwelling policies but failed to issue the policies in accordance with its filed rates.
- 8. Respondent cancelled twenty (20) private passenger automobile policies after the policies had been in effect over sixty (60) days, for reasons that were not consistent with Arizona statutes.
- 9. Respondent cancelled sixty-one (61) private passenger automobile policies but failed to send the notice of cancellation to the insureds at least ten days prior to the effective date of the cancellations.

10. Respondent issued eighty-two (82) private passenger automobile cancellations but failed to include notice of the insureds' right to complain to the Director or their possible eligibility for insurance through the assigned risk plan.

- 11. Respondent cancelled seventy-seven (77) private passenger automobile policies, which were not financed, for underwriting reasons, but the notices failed to include or be accompanied by the return of unearned premium. The examiners found this to be the case on all private passenger automobile cancellations, where the policies were not financed and return premiums were due to the insureds.
- 12. Respondent cancelled forty-six (46) automobile policies prior to policy issuance but failed to give the insureds the required ten (10) day notice prior to cancellation. On six (6) of these policies, Respondent failed to give the specific reasons for the cancellations.
- 13. Respondent cancelled or non-renewed 172 private passenger automobile policies for failure to make the premium payment but failed to send the notice of cancellation after the seven (7) day grace period.
- 14. Respondent provided the examiners with 292 notices that were titled "NOTICE OF EXPIRATION AND NONRENEWAL". These notices, sent out after December 30, 1993 advised insureds of policy expiration dates from November 28, 1993 through December 31, 1993 rather than the effective dates of cancellation.
- 15. Forty-one (41) of the private passenger automobile non-renewal files reviewed by the Examiners did not contain any

- 3 -

evidence that the policies had been non-renewed or that offers of renewal had been sent to the insureds.

- 16. Respondent cancelled one (1) mobile home policy within sixty (60) days of policy inception without evidence in the file that the \$141.00 unearned premium was refunded to the insured.
- 17. Respondent denied two (2) automobile claims which occured during the seven (7) day grace periods following the premium due dates. Notices of cancellation were not sent to the insureds at the end of the seven (7) day grace period.
- 18. Respondent denied one (1) mobile home claim where the comprehensive policy provisions provided coverage for the risks, and denied a second mobile home claim without determining that the claim did not meet the conditions of the policy.
- 19. Respondent failed to pay two (2) third party claims within thirty (30) days and to acknowledge three (3) third party claims within ten (10) working days.
- 20. Respondent failed to issue three (3) first party automobile total loss claim payment checks within thirty (30) days of receipt of an acceptable proof of loss.
- 21. Respondent failed to pay the full amount of sales taxes and license fees due on four (4) first-party automobile total loss claims. A total of \$461.62 was due these claimants, but was not paid.
- 22. Respondent failed to send the renewal billing to an insured and cancelled his policy after the insured had paid the premium to his agent. The agent sent the premium to the prior general agent of Respondent as no new address had been

. . . . .

furnished to the agent. Respondent denied a claim which was paid by the insured's agent from his own funds.

23. Respondent has surrendered, to the Director, its Certificate of Authority to transact the business of insurance in the State of Arizona.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. By failing to make freely accessible to the Examiners documents relating to the subject of the examination, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-157(A).
- 2. By failing to file its list of agents with the ADOI for the years 1992, 1993 and 1994, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-297.
- 3. By offering policies with terms that were not consistent with its filing at the ADOI, by failing to bill its insureds at least fifteen (15) days in advance of the policy expiration date as stated in its rules filed at the ADOI, and by issuing personal lines policies at rates other than those filed at the ADOI, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-385(A).
- 4. By issuing policies for terms other than the terms shown on the applications that the insureds agreed to and signed, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-443(1).
- 5. By failing to provide all of its insureds ample time to pay renewal billings, Respondent violated A.R.S. 20-448(C).
- 6. By cancelling private passenger automobile policies that had been in effect over sixty (60) days, for reasons which were not consistent with statute, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1631(C).

. . . . .

- 7. By failing to send notices of automobile cancellation on 107 private passenger automobile policies at least ten (10) days prior to the effective date of the cancellation, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(A).
- 8. By failing to provide the specific reasons for the cancellation of twenty-six (26) private passenger automobile policies and to advise ninety-one (91) insureds of their right to complain to the director and possible eligility for the automobile assigned risk plan, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(A)(1) and (A)(2).
- 9. By failing to maintain documentation in the files so the Examiners were able to ascertain whether private passenger automobile policies were renewed or nonrenewed, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1631(C).
- 10. By failing to refund the unearned premium due with the notices of cancellation on all private passenger automobile policies that had not been financed, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(A)(3).
- 11. By failing to send notices of cancellation after the seven (7) day grace period on 482 private passenger automobile policies that were non-renewed due to non-payment of premium, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1632.01(B).
- 12. By failing to fully disclose to four (4) first party claimants all pertinent benefits, coverages and other provisions of its policies, Respondent violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(D)(1).
- 13. By failing to acknowledge three (3) first party claims within ten (10) working days, Respondent violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(E).

14. By failing to pay all applicable taxes and fees in the settlement of four (4) first party total automobile losses, Respondent violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(H)(1)(b).

15. By failing to settle claims in a reasonable and prompt manner and failing to effectuate prompt, fair and equitable settlement when liability was reasonably clear, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(2) and (6).

16. By failing to settle three (3) first party total loss claims with thirty (30) days and failing to pay interest thereon, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-462(A).

17. By failing to send the renewal billing of a personal passenger automobile policy at least fifteen (15) days before policy expiration as stated in Respondent's policy and by failing to send a termination notice to the insured after the seven day grace period, Respondent violated A.R.S. §§ 20-385(A) and 20-1632.01(B).

18. Grounds exist for the entry of all other provisions of the following Order.

## ORDER

Respondent having admitted the jurisdiction of the Director to enter the Order set forth herein, having been advised of its right to a public hearing and of its right to appeal, hereby waives its right to a hearing and appeal of this Consent Order, and having consented to the entry of the Order set forth hereinafter, and there being no just reason for delay:

. . . . .

. . . . .

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- Respondent shall deposit, by July 10, 1995, with the 1. State Treasurer securities acceptable to the Director in an amount equal to its liabilities, as computed by the Director, including its reserves as required by A.R.S. Title 20 in respect to its business in this state for the sole benefit of its policyholders and creditors who are residents of this state, pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-217(D). Respondent shall apply to the Director to terminate its Certificate of Authority and for withdrawal from the insurance business in this State, accordance with A.R.S. § 20-217 and A.A.C. R20-6-303. In the event that by or before July 10, 1995 Respondent has failed to make a complete and sufficient application to so terminate and withdraw, in the event that the Director duly denies or Respondent's application in whole or in part, the Department shall have the right to re-open the subject Examination, to re-examine Respondent and to take any appropriate regulatory action arising therefrom.
- 2. Respondent pay to the insureds identified in Exhibit 29 of the Report the sum of \$461.62 in unpaid sales taxes and fees plus, interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum from the date the claim was received by the insurer until the date of payment. The payments shall be accompanied by a letter acceptable to the Director.
- 3. Respondent shall reopen the four (4) claim files indentified in the Claim Procedures and Processing section of the Report and adjust the losses in a manner consistent with the policy language. Copies of all correspondence relating to the

adjustment of these losses shall be provided to the Director within sixty (60) days of the filed date of the Order. All loss payments shall include interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum from the date the claim was received to the date of payment.

- 4. Respondent shall reimburse the producer on Sutter automobile policy SA112943 the \$808.95 that the agent was required to pay because Sutter denied a third party claim after failing to offer to renew the policy in a timely manner. Respondent shall pay interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) perannum from the date the agent made the payment to the date of reimbursement by Respondent.
- 5. Respondent shall reimburse \$164.00 in premium overcharges to the insureds identified on page 9 of the Report. Respondent shall pay interest in the amount of ten percent (10%) per annum from the date of the overcharge to the date of the reinbursement. The payments to the insureds shall be accompanied by a letter acceptable to the Director.
- 6. A list of the payments made pursuant to Paragraphs 2 through 5 giving the name and address of each party to whom payments are made, the policy or claim number, the base amount of the payment, the amount of interest paid and the date of payment shall be provided to the ADOI within thirty (30) days of the filed date of this Order.
- 7. The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify Respondent has fully complied with

all requirements of this Order, and the Director may separately order Respondent to comply.

- 8. Respondent shall pay a civil penalty of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00) to the Director for remission to the State Treasurer for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. §20-220(B). Said amount shall be provided to the Market Conduct Examinations Division of the ADOI on or before July 10, 1995.
- 9. The March 23, 1995 Report of Examination, to include any objections to the Report by Respondents, shall be filed with the ADOI.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this 19th day of July ,1995.

Chris Herstam
Director of Insurance

## CONSENT TO ORDER

- 1. Respondent has reviewed the foregoing Consent Order.
- 2. Respondent is aware of its right to a hearing at which hearing Respondent may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent has irrevocably waived its rights to such public hearing and to any court appeals relating thereto.
- 3. Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Director and consent to the entry of this Consent Order.
- 4. Respondent states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Order and that it has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- 5. Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director is solely for the purpose of settling this matter against it and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 6. Respondent and that, as such, he is authorized by it to enter into this Consent Order on its behalf.

#### SUTTER INSURANCE COMPANY

7/6/85 (Date)	By ggin. Ro	2011
------------------	-------------	------

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 19th day of July , 1995, to: 2 Charles R. Cohen 3 Deputy Director Gregory Y. Harris 4 Executive Assistant Director Lewis D. Kowal 5 Chief Administrative Law Judge Erin Klüg 6 Executive Assistant to the Director Jimmy Potts Market Conduct Examination Coordinator Examinations Division 8 Saul Saulson Supervisor 9 Examinations Section Dean Ehler 10 Supervisor Property and Casualty Section 11 Deloris E. Williamson Assistant Director 12 Rates & Regulations Division Gary Torticill 13 Assistant Director and Chief Financial Examiner Corporate & Financial Affairs Division 14 Cathy O, Neil Assistant Director 15 Consumer Services and Investigations 16 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 17 Phoenix, AZ 85018 18 Roger Kleinecke 19 Sutter Insurance Company c/o J. Michael Low 20 low & Childers PC 2999 North 44th Street Suite 250 21 Phoenix, AZ 85018 22 23 24 25

26

27